### ENT COOPERATION TREATY

REC'D 16 NOV 2004

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT 2937

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

A			W - (!) (	1			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Applicant's or agent's file reference 0000053866  International application No. PCT/EP 03/08087			nt's file reterence	FOR FURTHER ACTION  See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)				
				International filing date (day/month/year) 24.07.2003		Priority date (day/month/year) 23.08.2002		
Interna A61L			nt Classification (IPC) or be	oth national classification a	and IPC	1.4	I	
Applica .BASF		FIEN	GESELLSCHAFT et	al	12 to 3 to	L No. Legisland Colors	en Africa et la la Later-Alexande de la lateración de la completa en la completa de la completa de la completa	
1.	This i Autho	ntern ority a	ational preliminary examed is transmitted to the	mination report has bee applicant according to	en prepar Article 3	ed by this Inte 6.	rnational Preliminary Examining	
2.	This I	REPO	ORT consists of a total of	of 6 sheets, including th	his cover	sheet.		
		been	amended and are the	nied by ANNEXES, i.e. basis for this report and n 607 of the Administrat	d/or sheet	ts containing re	on, claims and/or drawings which have ectifications made before this Authority the PCT).	
-			exes consist of a total of					
3.	This r	enor	contains indications re	elating to the following it	ems.	2	in , more not a great the second	
		•		g to the following h			•	
			Basis of the opinion					
			Priority	oninion with regard to n	ovoltv ir	wantika atau a	and industrial annih da ilita	
				· ·	ioveity, ii	ivenuve step a	and industrial applicability	
IV ☐ Lack of unity of invention  V ☒ Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, invencitations and explanations supporting such statement							ventive step or industrial applicability;	
,	VI		Certain documents cit	ed				
•	VII		Certain defects in the	international applicatior	ı .			
. !	VIII		Certain observations of	on the international app	lication	<b>r</b> .	* * * *	
Date of submission of the demand			Date of	completion of th	is report			
02.12.2003					12.11.	2004		
Name	and m	ailing	address of the internation	ıal	Authoria	zed Officer	Austral Pricatem.	
preliminary examining authority:  European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465					Schna Telepho	ick, A one No. +49 89 2	2399-8149	

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/EP 03/08087

J.	<b>Basis</b>	of the	report
----	--------------	--------	--------

**Description, Pages** 

1. With regard to the elements of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)):

	1-40	)	as originally filed							
٠a	Cla	ims, Numbers	and the second of the second s	.:						
	1-29	9 ·	as originally filed							
2.	With lang	n regard to the <b>langu</b> juage in which the int	age, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the ernational application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.	е						
	The	se elements were ava	ailable or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:							
		the language of a tra	enslation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).							
		the language of publ	ication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).							
		the language of a tra Rule 55.2 and/or 55.3	inslation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under 3).							
3.	With inte	Ith regard to any <b>nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence</b> disclosed in the international application, the ternational preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:								
		contained in the inte	rnational application in written form.							
•	$\Box$ .	filed together with the	e international application in computer readable form.							
		furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.								
		furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.								
		The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.								
		The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.								
4.	The	amendments have re	esulted in the cancellation of:							
		the description,	pages:							
		the claims,	Nos.:							
		the drawings,	sheets:							
5.		This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).								
		(Any replacement sh report.)	neet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to th	is						

6. Additional observations, if necessary:

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/EP 03/08087

of the Billiand Server their on the constraint of the constraint

V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims No: Claims 1-29 NONE

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

Claims

1-29

Industrial.applicability (IA)

· · · ·Yes: · Claims

No:

1-29

No: Claims

NONE

NONE

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY

International application No. PCT/EP 03/08087

**EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET** 

Reference is made to the following documents:

- D1: US 4 351 754 A (DUPRE JEAN) 28 September 1982 (1982-09-28)
- D2: WO 98 52979 A (AMCOL INTERNATIONAL CORP) 26 November 1998 (1998-11-26)
- D3: WO 00 73596 A (ALBERTA RES COUNCIL INC; NILEX INC (CA)) 7 December 2000 (2000-12-07)
- D4: US 4 535 098 A (POLAK BRENT T ET AL) 13 August 1985 (1985-08-13)
- D5: US 4 914 066 A (WOODRUM GUY T) 3 April 1990 (1990-04-03) cited in the application
- D6: WO 91 12031 A (PROCTER & GAMBLE) 22 August 1991 (1991-08-22) cited in the second of the contract of the co the application
- D7: WO 01 32117 A (PARAGON TRADE BRANDS INC) 10 May 2001 (2001-05-10) cited in the application

#### Section V

#### V.1. Novelty

Remarks under Article 33(2) PCT:

The present application is directed to a process for manufacturing superabsorbent particles, said particles comprising a superabsorbent polymer (SAP) and a clay component, (claims 26-29). claims 1-16 are directed to the particles so obtained by the process according to claims 26-29. The process is characterized by the following steps:

- polymerizing an unneutralized monomer to obtain a SAP hydrogel (a)
- comminuting the SAP hydrogel to form SAP particles (b)
- (c) admixing a clay with the SAP particles
- neutralizing the SAP/clay particles with a neutralizing agent (d)
- (e) drying the particles

The application stresses that an important aspect of the presently claimed subject matter is that the neutralizing step, i.e. step (d), is performed after the mixing with the clay, i.e. after step (c), (cf. present page 5, lines 15-19, page 11, lines 5-9 and page 15,

#### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY **EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET**

International application No. PCT/EP 03/08087

lines 20-21, page 20, lines 8-12 and comparative example 1).

D1 discloses a thickening agent for aqueous compositions and for use in e.g. diapers as absorbent, (see col. 2, line 66). This agent comprises a SAP and a clay component. The clay and the polymer according to D1 can be admixed in a variety of ways. Col. 5, line 56 ff. describes that the clay and the polymer may be blended in e.g. dry form followed by addition of a neutralizing agent, (see also col. 6, lines 12-37 and example 1, (B) and example 2). Thus, D1 discloses a process in which the neutralization step takes place after the mixing step.

However, D1 fails to disclose the present comminuting step (b) to form particles, for which reason novelty appears acknowledgeable.

D2 and D6 do not appear to disclose the use of clays.

D3 discloses a process in which the super absorbent monomer and the clay component are mixed before polymerisation of the monomer, (see, the claims). Thus, novelty appears acknowledgeable in view of D3.

D4 does not appear to disclose the present neutralizing step (d) taking place after the mixing with the clay filler, (see D4, col. 6, lines 30-42 and col. 7, lines 21-45), for which reason novelty of the present process can be acknowledged in view of D4.

The same appears to apply for the teaching according to D5, (see D5, col. 2, line 57 col. 3, line 25 and claims 5, 6 and 14).

D7 discloses a SAP composition comprising an underneutralized SAP and a clay layer. A process according to present claim 1 does not appears to have been disclosed in D7.

Thus, in conclusion, novelty of the presently claimed subject matter appears acknowledgeable in view of the available prior art.

#### V.2. Inventive step

Remarks under Article 33(3) PCT:

The applicant explains on page 20, second paragraph, that the present application is based upon the finding that improved absorption and retention properties of SAP-clay

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY International application No. PCT/EP 03/08087 EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

particles can be obtained by a process according to which the clay is added to the SAP hydrogel prior to SAP neutralization. Present **example 6** and **comparative example 3** demonstrate this.

The closest prior art could be considered to be D2. D2 discloses SAP particles intended for use in diapers etc., (see page 1, lines 11-14). The SAP particles according to D2 are made according to a process in which the monomer is polymerized to completion (and optionally crosslinked during polymerization) before neutralization. The so obtained SAP product can further be dried and pulverized according to known methods, (see D2, page 10, line 4 - page 11, line 6). Thus, the difference between the presently claimed subject matter and the teaching of D2 is that a clay is additionally added to the particles before the neutralization step according to the present invention. The effects offered by this addition of clay prior to neutralization as opposed to after neutralization is an enhanced water evaporation rate, (cf. present pages 25 and 26). It appears that this effect associated with the particular order in which the steps © and (d) are carried out was not derivable from the available prior art.

Thus, an inventive step of the claimed subject matter appears to be acknowledgeable.

## V.3. Industrial applicabilityRemarks under Article 33(4) PCT:

The presently claimed subject matter fulfils the requirements for industrial applicability.